

186 Center Street Suite 290 Clinton, NJ 08809 (908) 735-9315 (908) 735-2132 FAX

March 17, 2014

**VIA ELECTRONIC & US MAIL** 

Ms. Jennifer LaPoma
ATTN: Lower Passaic River Remedial Project Manager
Emergency and Remedial Response Division
U.S. EPA, Region 2
290 Broadway, 19th Floor
New York, New York 10007

Re: Monthly Progress Report No. 82 – February 2014
Lower Passaic River Study Area (LPRSA) Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
(RI/FS)
CERCLA Docket No. 02-2007-2009

Dear Ms. LaPoma:

de maximis, inc. is submitting this Monthly Progress Report for the above-captioned project on the behalf of the RI/FS Agreement Settling Parties (Cooperative Parties Group or CPG) pursuant to the Administrative Settlement Agreement and Order on Consent (Settlement Agreement or AOC). The Progress Report satisfies the reporting requirements of Paragraph 42 of said Settlement Agreement.

### (a) Actions which have been taken to comply with this Settlement Agreement during the previous month.

#### **Meetings/Conference Calls**

- On February 6, EPA, CPG and EPA and CPG consultants held an RI/FS Technical Meeting; the Meeting included the Partner Agency representatives.
- On February 13, EPA, CPG, and EPA and CPG consultants held a Bioaccumulation Modeling Meeting.

#### Correspondence

- On February 2 and 3, EPA and CPG exchanged emails regarding EPA's request for bioaccumulation modeling code and files.
- On February 4, EPA transmitted a response to requests made by CPG regarding the revised draft Feasibility Study Work Plan (FSWP).
- On February 5, CPG extended an invitation to EPA for CPG to host the February 13 Bioaccumulation Modeling Meeting.
- On February 5, CPG provided details regarding the requests for bioaccumulation modeling code and files to EPA.
- On February 5, CPG submitted the presentation materials for the February 6 RI/FS Technical Meeting to EPA.



Ms. J. LaPoma LPRSA RI/FS - Progress Report No. 82 – February 2014 March 17, 2014 Page 2 of 8

- On February 7, CPG provided EPA the Constituents of Potential Concern (COPC)
   Toxicity and Selection tables discussed during the February 6 RI/FS Technical Meeting.
- On February 10, CPG provided EPA a draft agenda for the February 13 Bioaccumulation Modeling Meeting.
- On February 11, EPA provided comments to CPG on the steady state bioaccumulation model.
- On February 12, EPA provided a draft set of minutes from the February 6 RI/FS Technical Meeting and requested comments from CPG.
- On February 19, CPG submitted a letter to EPA summarizing the principal reasons that EPA and CPG predict different residual risk estimates for the Targeted Remedy.
- On February 18, CPG submitted the January Monthly Progress Report to EPA.
- On February 18, CPG uploaded the Small Volume (SV) Chemical Water Column Monitoring (CWCM) Data Report to the EPA SharePoint site.
- On February 18, CPG provided comments and suggested changes to the draft February 6, 2014 RI/FS Technical Meeting minutes to EPA.
- On February 18, EPA submitted responses to CPG's request for modification of interim deliverables for the Feasibility Study (FS).
- On February 24, CPG received a letter from the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) dated February 13, 2014 providing information regarding the issue of local angler collection of common carp from the LPRSA, requiring the CPG to address the potential human health risk concerns associated with the illegal capture and consumption of common carp.
- On February 24, EPA submitted comments to CPG on the revised draft Low Resolution Coring (LRC) Characterization Summary Report dated July 2011.
- On February 25, CPG provided comments and suggested changes to the March 6 RI/FS Technical Meeting agenda to EPA.
- On February 25, EPA accepted the revisions to the March 6 RI/FS Technical Meeting agenda and requested a copy of the presentation materials for the Meeting from the CPG.
- On February 26, CPG uploaded the High Volume (HV) CWCM Data Report to the EPA SharePoint site.
- On February 26, CPG notified EPA that reprocessed survey data for the Physical Water Column Monitoring (PWCM) program was being uploaded to EPA's SharePoint site.
- On February 26 and 27, EPA and CPG exchanged emails regarding the modeling meetings to be scheduled for March and modeling files to be provided by EPA to the CPG.

#### Work

- CPG continued data validation of the analytical results from the LRC Supplemental Sampling Program 2 (SSP2).
- CPG continued drafting the SSP2 Data Report.
- CPG completed drafting data reports for the SV and HV CWCM Programs.



Ms. J. LaPoma LPRSA RI/FS - Progress Report No. 82 – February 2014 March 17, 2014 Page 3 of 8

- CPG initiated drafting the PWCM Data Report.
- CPG initiated responses to comments on the LRC Characterization Report.
- CPG continued responding to EPA comments on previously submitted RI/FS deliverables.
- CPG continued Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment (BERA) activities (update CSM, complete benthic assessment, complete effects and exposure assessment and risk characterization for fish and wildlife assessments and continue to write the BERA).
- CPG continued work on components of the Human Health Risk Assessment (HHRA).
- CPG Modeling Team continued work on the RCATOX Chemical Fate and Transport Model Code initial conditions and long-term calibration runs.
- CPG Modeling Team continued work on the Bioaccumulation Model.
- CPG Modeling Team continued work on system understanding for hydrodynamic and sediment transport processes in Newark Bay.
- CPG continued review of sediment characteristics and potential target remedy locations in support of initial FS evaluations.
- CPG continued development of appendices and supporting documents for the FS.
- CPG continued development of the Remedial Investigation (RI) report.
- CPG responded to EPA comments received on previously submitted RI/FS deliverables.

#### (b) Results of Sampling and Tests

- On February 20, CPG posted two revised Region 2 Electronic Data Delivery (EDD) packages which corrected the coordinates for one station from the High Flow (HF) CWCM Event #1 and one station from HF CWCM Event #2 and corrected salinity data for one station from the SV CWCM Event 31 and one station from HF CWCM Event #1 to the EPA SharePoint site.
- On February 26, CPG uploaded the entire re-processed PWCM dataset to the EPA SharePoint site.

## (c) Work planned for the next two months with schedules relating to the overall project schedule for RI/FS completion

- CPG will complete drafting the PWCM Data Report
- CPG will complete validation of the analytical data from SSP2.
- CPG will continue drafting the SSP2 Data Report.
- CPG will complete responses to comments on the LRC Characterization Summary Report.
- CPG will continue responding to EPA comments on previously submitted RI/FS deliverables.
- CPG will continue to complete BERA activities (update CSM, complete benthic assessment, complete effects and exposure assessment and risk characterization for fish and wildlife assessments, complete all lines of evidence as outlined in the RARC and continue to write the BERA).
- CPG will continue work on components of the HHRA.



Ms. J. LaPoma LPRSA RI/FS - Progress Report No. 82 – February 2014 March 17, 2014 Page 4 of 8

- CPG Modeling Team will continue calibration runs with the HQI ECOM-SEDZLJS and RCATOX codes and inputs.
- CPG Modeling Team will continue development of the Bioaccumulation Model.
- CPG will participate in LPR/Newark Bay Modeling Program progress calls and follow-up modeling collaboration meetings with EPA and EPA consultants.
- CPG will continue drafting the RI Report.
- CPG will continue initial FS evaluation of targeted remedy locations.
- CPG will continue development of appendices and supporting documents for the FS.

# (d) <u>Problems encountered and anticipated problems, actual or anticipated delays, and solutions developed and implemented to address actual or anticipated problems or delays.</u>

Based upon discussions with Region 2, the CPG understood that Region 2 considered the calibration of the HQI sediment transport and the chemical fate and transport model sufficient to support the revised FFS for the lower 8 miles of the LPRSA in the fall of 2012. Furthermore, the CPG understands that Region 2 received comments related to the FFS model both from the NRRB review conducted in December 2012 and the model peer review in February and March 2013. The CPG has requested that Region 2 provide the results of both the NRRB review and model peer review especially the comments that may be pertinent to the CPG's LPR/NB model development and is awaiting Region 2's decision on releasing the comments. Finally, the CPG understands that it may require up to six months for Region 2's Modeling Team to incorporate, calibrate and re-run the model based on the NRRB and peer review comments received by Region 2 through the spring of 2013. To date, Region 2 has declined the CPG's requests to provide model-related comments from either review. The CPG is continuing to work on both sediment transport model and the chemical fate and transport model for the LPRSA and incorporating the improvements and other changes that CPG has discussed with Region 2. Region 2 and CPG collaboration meetings were conducted throughout 2012 and in February 2013; this most recent and previous meetings are providing an opportunity for both modeling teams to understand differences between each team's approaches. In January 2013, the CPG provided a detailed technical memorandum on its progress on the LPR/NB sediment transport modeling to Region 2; the current code and input and output files have also been provided, as discussed on February 28, 2013. EPA provided Newark Bay SedFlume data and FFS Model input files in March. Delays associated with both the sediment transport modeling and chemical fate and transport modeling schedules are extending the completion of the LPRSA RI/FS. As a result of the July 24, 2013 meeting with EPA HQ and Region 2, the CPG has developed and submitted a meeting schedule for the Region's review to address outstanding issues with the LPR/NB models related to sediment transport, chemical fate and transport and bioaccumulation to occur during the third and fourth quarters of CY 2013.

On October 23 2013, Region 2 provided a letter which purports to provide their understanding of the modeling being conducted by the CPG in support of the Sustainable Remedy and RI/FS. The CPG does not agree with some of the statements



Ms. J. LaPoma LPRSA RI/FS - Progress Report No. 82 – February 2014 March 17, 2014 Page 5 of 8

made in this letter and provided a response on November 22, 2013. A meeting was conducted on November 14, 2013 to brief Region 2 on the working version of the LPR/NB Model used for the evaluation of the SR and Region's FFS alternatives should occur. The November 14, 2013 meeting addressed OSRTI's July 24, 2013 request that Region 2 understand the modeling conducted by the CPG. The CPG agrees with Region 2's proposal to provide routine and regular oversight meetings of the development of the LPR/NB Model for the LPRSA RI/FS and NBSA RI/FS.

On December 19 and 20, 2013, the CPG provided the following modeling information used in its working version of the LPR/NB Model to evaluate targeted and bank to bank remedies:

- The hydrodynamic model inputs/outputs and linkage files for the calibration period WY1995-WY2012
- The sediment transport inputs/outputs for the calibration period WY1995-WY2012
- Code version used for sediment transport calibration runs
- OC linkage program, script to pre-process inputs and develop OC linkage file, and example OC linkage file for WY1996
- CFT model inputs, outputs (zipped) and run scripts for the 45 years projection period for 2,3,7,8-TCDD for requested projection alternatives:
  - o Alt 1: MNR
  - o Alt 2: Targeted removal (500 ppt) (this is the same as Alt 2a)
  - o Alt 3: Cap/Dredge with EPA schedule
  - o Alt 4: Full dredge with EPA schedule
  - o Alt 5: Cap/Dredge with realistic schedule
  - o Alt 6: Full dredge with realistic schedule
- CFT model inputs, outputs and run procedures for the long-term (WY1995-WY2009) and short-term (WY2010-WY2012) calibration periods for 2,3,7,8-TCDD, for the same model version as used in the above projections
- The RCATOX model code version used in all of the above simulations
- Temporal plots of the mean 0-15 cm sediment 2,3,7,8-TCDD concentrations for RM 0-8 and RM 0-17 as shown in the July EPA-HQ meeting
  - o As noted during the November 14 EPA/CPG modeling meeting, Alternatives 1, 2, and 5 were re-run in October due to an input error in the dredging schedule implementation. The updated results are shown on these plots.
- Bioaccumulation Model Template Steady State
- Bioaccumulation Model Template Dynamic
- Dynamic Bioaccumulation Model Input Template

The model codes, inputs and outputs are consistent with the model version described in the November 14, 2013 modeling meeting, and shown in the December EPA-HQ meeting. The above-referenced modeling information will provide EPA a detailed understanding of the work being conducted by the CPG as it pertains to the LPRSA RI/FS and its development of the Sustainable Remedy. The CPG has not received the

Ms. J. LaPoma LPRSA RI/FS - Progress Report No. 82 – February 2014 March 17, 2014 Page 6 of 8

EPA modeling codes, input files and other information that it requested on December 20, 2013 and January 3, 2014.

- The CPG understands that Region 2 approved TMO's CSO/SWO Workplan in May 2011. It is also the CPG's understanding that the Method Detection Studies proposed by TMO and other work such as construction of the proposed mobile centrifuge/CSO sampling trailer required for Phase 1 work were completed in late August 2012. It is also the CPG's understanding that the EPA and TMO had agreed in late 2012 to delay the Phase I CSO sampling due to the failure of the PVSC treatment system and other regional POTWs. Furthermore, the CPG understands that delays to CSO Phase 1 sampling were caused by TMO inability to receive permission for the discharge of CSO effluent from its trailer back to the CSO from initially PVSC and then municipalities. Finally. TMO did not complete the Phase 1 CSO Sampling in May 2013 as outlined in its latest schedule submitted to Region 2. TMO did collect an initial sample from the Clay Street CSO on June 10; however, the CPG has identified a number concerns with this effort that it plans to share with EPA. Additionally, the CPG understands that TMO completed Phase 1 CSO Sampling in December 2013. The extent to which the LPRSA RI/FS schedule may be impacted by the delays in the Phase 1 sampling schedule is significant. Moreover, there are likely to be significant delays of the Phase 2 CSO field schedule that will prevent a timely completion of the TMO CSO Study and adversely impact the timely completion of the LPRSA RI/FS. Specifically TMO's revised CSO Study schedule indicates that validated data from Phase 2 will be available in late 2015. one year after the anticipated Draft RI report submission in 2014 and FS submission in 2015. TMO's CSO schedule is an unacceptable delay to the LPRSA RI/FS schedule as demonstrated by their inability to complete the Phase 1 work until December 2013. Furthermore, as far as the CPG is able to determine TMO is unable to conduct the work consistent with the EPA-approved QAPP as demonstrated during the June 10, 2013 sampling event at Clay Street. Therefore, the reliability and value of these data are questionable. The CPG detailed these issues and other concerns regarding TMO's CSO Study in its July 5, 2013 letter to Region 2. The CPG is evaluating alternative approaches for CSO/SWO data to be used in the LPR/NB Chemical Fate & Transport Model to maintain a LPRSA RI/FS Study completion date of late 2014.
- Region 2 provided comments on July 11, 2011 which disapproved the revised risk assessment planning documents and required submission of revised documents within 30 days. Additionally, Region 2 provided a technical memo on July 25, 2011 on fish and crab consumption rates for the LPRSA Human Health Risk Assessment. The CPG filed a notice of dispute resolution in accordance with Section XV of the AOC on July 25, 2011 in response to Region's July 11, 2011 directive letter and July 25, 2011 technical memorandum. The revised RARC and TRV deliverables were submitted on August 9, 2011. On September 6, 2011 the CPG submitted a position paper in response to EPA's July 11, 2011 comments and July 25, 2011 technical memorandum. Dispute resolution meetings were held on December 1, 2011 and January 13, 2012. Region 2 provided the results of the dispute resolution to the CPG on February 7, 2012 and the CPG implemented the changes specified in the July 2011 directive comments and the results of the dispute resolution in the revised RARC which was submitted on April 13, 2012.

Ms. J. LaPoma LPRSA RI/FS - Progress Report No. 82 – February 2014 March 17, 2014 Page 7 of 8

Region 2 provided comments on the revised RARC on August 30, 2012; the CPG met with Region 2 on January 7, 2013 to discuss remaining background and reference issues and had a telephone call with EPA on January 28 to discuss cooking loss.

Since early in 2013, Region 2 has promised to provide revised definitions for background and reference that the CPG can include in the revised RARC. These definitions were received by the CPG on June 28, It is the CPG's understanding that the issuance of the revised definitions was held-up by the NJDEP, NOAA and US FWS which did not accept definitions that are otherwise acceptable to the Region and the USACE, and which appear to be acceptable to the CPG based on the CPG's understanding of the revised definitions. The CPG has reviewed the June 28 definitions with the Region. Following CPG review and discussions and clarifications with the Region on August 8, the CPG resubmitted the revised final RARC, incorporating the June 28 definitions, on October 29 to Region 2 for final approval. The CPG received additional RARC comments on January 31, 2014. The CPG has not received EPA final determination on reference and background for the LPRSA RI/FS; Region 2 has stated that their evaluation should be complete and the results provided to the CPG.

- The CPG was directed in 2009 by EPA to develop a single QAPP for surface water sampling for both the LPRSA and NBSA. At that time, the TMO parties were still members; the TMO parties left the CPG in May 2012. However, Occidental is the respondent to the NBSA AOC. The CPG followed the direction of the EPA and performed three separate programs, including developing QAPPs for the Physical Water Column Monitoring and Small Volume and High Volume Chemical Water Column Monitoring programs (in fact the High Volume program was implemented after TMOs departure). The CPG voiced its concerns to EPA that this involved both (1) different operable units of the Diamond Alkali NPL site and (2) different respondents to the respective AOCs - EPA did not address the CPG's concerns. In order to effectuate EPA's directive for synoptic sampling in the River and Newark Bay, the CPG agreed to allow Tierra, on behalf of Occidental, to use its contractor. However, subject to the terms of a letter agreement between the CPG and Tierra, the responsibility for the work in Newark Bay would be solely Tierra's. After authorizing and paying for Newark Bay work. Tierra stopped responding to the CPG's contractor task authorization requests starting in March 2013 and has not made any payments since mid-May. As a result of Tierra's failure to pay, the CPG's contractor is owed over \$1 MM in outstanding invoices and an estimated \$1.5 MM in labor and expenses associated with NBSA sampling (for a total of \$2.5 MM). In August, with EPA's approval, the CPG paid over \$1 MM in outstanding invoices from the LPRSA Trust. Until the remaining invoices are paid and the CPG is compensated for its payment, the CPG and its contractor will not provide any NBSA data to TMO and believes that EPA should take the same position. If EPA requires these data to be included in the LPR/NB Model as planned and the invoices are not paid then the schedules to complete the LPRSA RI/FS and the LPR/NB Model could be adversely impacted.
- On March 3, 2009, pursuant to EPA's November 13, 2008 comments provided to CPG, a revision to the August 2008 Feasibility Study Work Plan (FSWP) was submitted. To

Ms. J. LaPoma LPRSA RI/FS - Progress Report No. 82 – February 2014 March 17, 2014 Page 8 of 8

date, Region 2 has not provided written comments or approval on the revised March 2009 FSWP. Region 2 and CPG had previously agreed to have a meeting in October 2013 on the FSWP which was prevented by the Government Shutdown. The CPG received comments on December 13, 2014 and met with EPA on December 17, 2013. The CPG submitted a revised FSWP on January 31, 2014. As of February 28, 2014, the CPG has not received the EPA comments for revised FSWP.

- There are number of data summary reports and technical memos (both original and revised submissions) that have been submitted to Region since 2011 that the CPG is awaiting either approval or Region 2's comments. These reports include:
  - 1. Revised Data Usability Plan submitted April 2012
  - 2. Sediment Transport Technical Memo submitted January 2013
  - 3. Lower Passaic River Surface Sediment Concentration Mapping Technical Memorandum- submitted May 2013
  - 4. Upstream Reference Benthic Data Report submitted August 2013
  - 5. Dissolved Oxygen Survey Data Report submitted September 2013
  - 6. Background Sediment Data Report submitted October 2013

The CPG received comments on a number of previously submitted reports. Please advise the CPG of the Region 2's schedule for action on these remaining documents.

If you have any questions, please contact me or Rob Law at (908) 735-9315.

Very truly yours

de maximis, inc.

Willard F. Potter \
Project Coordinator

cc: Stephanie Vaughn, EPA
Pat Hick, EPA Office of Regional Counsel
William Hyatt, CPG Coordinating Counsel
Lisa Baron, USACE
Tim Kubiak, USFWS
Reyhan Mehran, NOAA
Janine MacGregor, NJDEP
Elkins Green, NJDOT
Sharon Budney, CDM
Beth Franklin, USACOE
Laura Kelmar, AECOM